SYMBIOTIC PROPERTY FRAMEWORK v1.3 — R&D ROADMAP

Next Generation: Inter-Community Exchange, AI Governance, Real-time Monitoring

Дата: 10 ноября 2025

Статус: R&D Roadmap Draft

Предыдущая версия: v1.2 COMPLETE

Новые системы: ICE, AI Governance Simulator, CSHA Dashboard, Ethical Proof Layer, Pilot Funding Proposal

---

□ ОГЛАВЛЕНИЕ

- [Эволюция к v1.3](#эволюция-к-v13)
- [1. Inter-Community Exchange (ICE)](#1-inter-community-exchange-ice)
- [2. AI-Driven Governance Simulator](#2-ai-driven-governance-simulator)
- [3. CSHA Dashboard](#3-csha-dashboard)
- [4. Ethical Proof Layer (EPL)](#4-ethical-proof-layer-epl)
- [5. Pilot Funding Proposal](#5-pilot-funding-proposal)
- [Implementation Timeline](#implementation-timeline)
- [Success Metrics v1.3](#success-metrics-v13)

□ ЭВОЛЮЦИЯ К v1.3

От v1.2 к v1.3

```
v1.2: Самоуправляемая экономика в рамках одного сообщества
├── HVL Protocol (internal to community)
├── CSHA (measurement, but no visualization)
```

├── Governance Matrix (conceptual, no simulation)

└── Ethical Layer (reactive, not proactive audit)

v1.3: Межсообщественная сеть + AI-powered governance + Real-time monitoring

├── ICE (inter-community HV Credits exchange)

├── AI Governance Simulator (predictive stress-testing)

├── CSHA Dashboard (real-time visualization)

├── Ethical Proof Layer (proactive audit trail)

└── Pilot Funding Proposal (investor-ready)

```

**Ключевой сдвиг v1.3:**

> От **"isolated communities"** к **"networked ecosystem"** + AI-powered governance

---

## 1. INTER-COMMUNITY EXCHANGE (ICE)

### 1.1. Концепция

**ICE (Inter-Community Exchange)** — система обмена **HV Credits между разными сообществами** без конверсии в деньги.

**Проблема без ICE:**

```

Сценарий:

├── Человек накопил 15 HV Credits в Community A (сельская местность)

├── Переезжает в Community B (город)

└── Его Credits в Community A теперь бесполезны?

    ↓

Потеря мотивации накапливать Credits (если планирует переезд)

```
```

Решение ICE:

```
HV Credits становятся portable (переносимыми) между сообществами
├── НО с exchange rate (курс обмена)
├── Exchange rate зависит от CHV (Community HV) обоих сообществ
└── Без конверсии в деньги (прямой обмен Credits)
```

1.2. Механизм ICE

Exchange Rate Formula

```python
def calculate_ICE_rate(community_from, community_to):
    """
    Курс обмена Credits между сообществами
    """

    CHV_from = get_community_CHV(community_from)
    CHV_to = get_community_CHV(community_to)

    # Базовый курс = соотношение CHV
    base_rate = CHV_from / CHV_to

    # Корректировка на стоимость жизни
    cost_of_living_from = get_cost_of_living(community_from)
    cost_of_living_to = get_cost_of_living(community_to)

    cost_adjustment = cost_of_living_from / cost_of_living_to

    # Финальный курс
```

```
    exchange_rate = base_rate * cost_adjustment

    # Caps: курс не может быть < 0.5 или > 2.0 (защита от манипуляций)
    exchange_rate = max(0.5, min(2.0, exchange_rate))

    return exchange_rate
```

Пример:

```python
# Community A (rural, low CHV, low cost of living)
CHV_A = 0.50
cost_of_living_A = 0.6  # Низкая

# Community B (urban tech hub, high CHV, high cost)
CHV_B = 0.65
cost_of_living_B = 1.2  # Высокая

# Exchange rate A → B
base_rate = 0.50 / 0.65 = 0.77
cost_adjustment = 0.6 / 1.2 = 0.5
exchange_rate = 0.77 * 0.5 = 0.385 → capped at 0.5

# Интерпретация:
# 1 Credit в Community A = 0.5 Credits в Community B
# (город "дороже", Credits стоят больше)
```

ICE Transaction Process

```
Шаг 1: Человек инициирует transfer
├── У него 15 Credits в Community A
├── Хочет перевести в Community B
└── Smart contract рассчитывает exchange_rate = 0.5

Шаг 2: Conversion
├── 15 Credits (Community A) × 0.5 = 7.5 Credits (Community B)
├── Original 15 Credits сжигаются (burned) в Community A
└── 7.5 New Credits создаются (minted) в Community B

Шаг 3: Verification
├── HVIL логирует транзакцию (прозрачность)
├── Оба сообщества подтверждают (multi-sig)
└── Human Council может проверить (audit trail)
```

1.3. Защита от арбитража

Проблема: Что если кто-то пытается "gaming" через ICE?

Защита 1: Cooling Period

```python
# Нельзя переводить Credits туда-обратно быстро
ICE_COOLING_PERIOD = 6_months

def check_cooling_period(user, community_from, community_to):
    last_transfer = get_last_ICE_transfer(user)

    if last_transfer:
```

```
        if (today() - last_transfer.date) < ICE_COOLING_PERIOD:
            raise Error("Cannot transfer again within 6 months")

    return True
```

Защита 2: Transfer Limit

```python
# Максимум 50% Credits можно перевести за раз
MAX_TRANSFER_PERCENT = 0.50

def check_transfer_limit(user, amount):
    total_credits = get_total_credits(user)

    if amount > total_credits * MAX_TRANSFER_PERCENT:
        raise Error("Cannot transfer more than 50% of Credits")

    return True
```

Защита 3: Human Council Review

```python
# Крупные переводы (>20 Credits) проверяются Human Council
REVIEW_THRESHOLD = 20

def check_review_requirement(amount):
    if amount > REVIEW_THRESHOLD:
        submit_for_human_council_review()

    return True
```

1.4. Benefits of ICE

 Mobility: Люди могут переезжать без потери Credits

 Network effects: Сообщества связаны через Credits

 Risk diversification: Не все яйца в одной корзине

 Competition: Сообщества конкурируют за привлечение high-HV людей

---

2. AI-DRIVEN GOVERNANCE SIMULATOR

2.1. Концепция

AI Governance Simulator — агентная модель, которая **симулирует поведение Governance Matrix** при различных кризисных сценариях.

Зачем нужно:

```
Вопросы до внедрения v1.2:
├── Что если 30% людей захотят opt-out одновременно?
├── Что если сообщество начнёт манипулировать L2 voting?
├── Что если Human Council парализован (не может принять решение)?
├── Что если external shock (экономический кризис, пандемия)?
└── Как система поведёт себя при этих стрессах?
    ↓
Без симулятора = "узнаем в production" (рискованно!)
```

C Governance Simulator:
```
```

Можно протестировать ВСЕ сценарии до запуска
├── Найти слабые места
├── Улучшить правила governance
└── Убедить инвесторов в устойчивости
```

---

### 2.2. Архитектура симулятора

```
AI Governance Simulator
├── Agent-Based Model (ABM)
│   ├── Agent types:
│   │   ├── Human agents (разные HV, preferences)
│   │   ├── AI agents (разные VF)
│   │   ├── Community agents (L2 governance)
│   │   └── Institutional agents (L3, Human Council)
│   └── Interactions:
│   ├── Voting (L2, L3)
│   ├── HV Credits exchange
│   ├── CSHA collaboration
│   └── Opt-in/opt-out decisions
│
├── Scenario Engine
│   ├── Predefined scenarios (кризисы)
│   ├── Random shocks (Monte Carlo)
│   └── Custom scenarios (user-defined)
│
└── Analytics Module
    ├── Stability metrics
    ├── Governance effectiveness
    └── System resilience score

```

---

### 2.3. Симулируемые сценарии

#### Сценарий 1: Mass Opt-Out Crisis

```python
scenario = {
    "name": "Mass Opt-Out Crisis",
    "description": "30% людей opt-out из SPR system одновременно",
    "trigger": {
        "event": "Economic recession",
        "affected_communities": "all",
        "opt_out_rate": 0.30
    },
    "simulation_length": 24_months,
    "metrics_to_track": [
        "avg_HV",
        "CHV_by_community",
        "HVL_fund_balance",
        "governance_participation_rate"
    ]
}

# Запуск симуляции
result = run_simulation(scenario)

# Результаты:
result.summary = {
    "system_stability": 0.65,  # Система выдержала, но с трудом
    "recovery_time": 18_months,
```
```

```
    "critical_failures": 0,
    "recommendations": [
        "Increase HVL Fund reserve from 5% to 10%",
        "Add emergency L3 powers for Human Council during crisis"
    ]
}
```

Сценарий 2: L2 Voting Manipulation

```python
scenario = {
    "name": "L2 Voting Manipulation",
    "description": "Богатое сообщество пытается манипулировать L2 voting через bribery",
    "trigger": {
        "community": "Community_TechHub",
        "manipulation_type": "bribery",
        "affected_voters": 0.40
    },
    "simulation_length": 12_months
}

result = run_simulation(scenario)

result.summary = {
    "manipulation_detected": True,
    "detection_time": 3_months,
    "system_response": "Human Council veto activated",
    "recommendations": [
        "Add anonymous voting option",
        "Implement vote-buying detection algorithm"
```

```
    ]
}
```

Сценарий 3: Human Council Paralysis

```python
scenario = {
    "name": "Human Council Paralysis",
    "description": "Human Council не может принять решение (50/50 split)",
    "trigger": {
        "event": "Controversial L3 proposal (add Productivity component)",
        "council_split": 0.50,
        "deadlock_duration": 6_months
    }
}

result = run_simulation(scenario)

result.summary = {
    "system_impact": "Medium (governance slowed, but no collapse)",
    "workaround_used": "Global referendum triggered by 10% petition",
    "recommendations": [
        "Add tie-breaking mechanism (e.g., AI Core advisory vote)",
        "Require supermajority (60%) for veto, not simple majority"
    ]
}
```

2.4. Agent Types в симуляторе

Human Agents

```python
class HumanAgent:
    def __init__(self):
        self.HV = random.uniform(0.3, 0.8)
        self.HV_credits = 0
        self.community = assign_random_community()
        self.preferences = {
            "risk_tolerance": random.uniform(0, 1),
            "governance_participation": random.uniform(0, 1),
            "trust_in_system": random.uniform(0.5, 1.0)
        }

    def decide_vote(self, proposal):
        """
        Решение голосовать ЗА или ПРОТИВ
        """
        # Учитывает:
        # - Личный HV (люди с высоким HV чаще голосуют за стабильность)
        # - Trust in system
        # - Proposal impact на свои интересы
        pass

    def decide_opt_out(self):
        """
        Решение opt-out из системы
        """
        if self.trust_in_system < 0.3:
            return True  # Opt-out
        if self.HV < 0.3 and no_improvement_for_2_years:
```

```
            return True
        return False
```

2.5. Output симулятора

Dashboard с результатами:

```
Simulation Results: Mass Opt-Out Crisis

System Stability: 6.5/10 ⚠
├── System survived: Yes
├── Recovery time: 18 months
├── Critical failures: 0
└── Lessons learned: 3

Key Metrics Over Time:
├── Average HV: 0.55 → 0.48 → 0.52 (recovered)
├── HVL Fund balance: $50M → $20M → $40M (recovering)
├── Opt-out rate: 30% peak → stabilized at 15%
└── Governance participation: 65% → 50% → 60%

Weaknesses Identified:
1. HVL Fund reserve too small (5% → need 10%)
2. No emergency protocols for mass opt-out
3. L2 communities struggled without central coordination

Recommendations:
1. □ Increase reserve fund
2. □ Add emergency L3 powers
```

3. □ Create inter-community support mechanism (ICE!)
```

---

## 3. CSHA DASHBOARD

### 3.1. Концепция

**CSHA Dashboard** — real-time визуализация **качества Human-AI симбиоза** на уровне команд, сообществ, и глобально.

**Зачем:**

```
v1.2: CSHA рассчитывается, но не видна в реальном времени
├── Люди не знают, как их CSHA сравнивается с другими
├── Community не видит, где проблемы (какие teams struggling)
└── Symbiotic Council не может оперативно вмешаться
    ↓
CSHA Dashboard решает все эти проблемы!
```

3.2. Визуализации

Visualization 1: CSHA Heat Map (по командам)

```
CSHA Heat Map — Community TechHub

        Team 1   Team 2   Team 3   Team 4   Team 5
Week 1  □        □        □        □        □
```
```

Week 2 □ □ □ □ □

Week 3 □ □ □ □ □

Week 4 □ □ □ □ □

Legend:

□ CSHA ≥ 0.7 (Healthy)

□ CSHA 0.5-0.7 (Suboptimal)

□ CSHA 0.3-0.5 (Poor)

□ CSHA < 0.3 (Critical)

Insight: Team 5 улучшился за 4 недели (□ → □)

Action taken: AI replacement + human training

```

---

#### Visualization 2: CSHA Trend (по сообществу)

```
Community CSHA Trend — Last 6 Months

0.80 | ●
     | ●
0.75 | ●
     | ●
0.70 | ●
     | ●
0.65 | ●
     | ●
0.60 +--
     Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun

Current CCSHA: 0.78 (Excellent!)
```
```

Growth: +0.18 in 6 months

Top factors: Improved collaboration_quality (+0.15)

```

---

#### Visualization 3: CSHA Distribution (histogram)

```

CSHA Distribution — All Teams in Ecosystem

Count

 30 | ██
    | ██
 25 | ██
    | ██
 20 | ██ ██
    | ██ ██
 15 | ██ ██ ██
    | ██ ██ ██
 10 |██ ██ ██ ██
    |██ ██ ██ ██
  5 |██ ██ ██ ██ ██
    +------------------
    <0.3 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.9
         -0.5 -0.7 -0.9

Median CSHA: 0.65

Mean CSHA: 0.62

Teams < 0.5: 15% (need intervention)

```

---
```

3.3. Dashboard Features

Feature 1: Real-time Alerts

```python
# Автоматические алерты при падении CSHA
def check_CSHA_alerts():
    for team in all_teams:
        if team.CSHA < 0.3:
            send_alert(
                recipient=community_manager,
                message=f"Team {team.id} CSHA critical: {team.CSHA}",
                action="Immediate intervention required"
            )

        # Тренд вниз
        if team.CSHA_trend_30days < -0.10:
            send_warning(
                recipient=community_manager,
                message=f"Team {team.id} CSHA declining rapidly",
                action="Consider training or AI adjustment"
            )
```

Feature 2: Benchmarking

```
Your Team vs Similar Teams

Your CSHA: 0.65
```

Similar teams (same industry): 0.58 average

Percentile: 72nd □

What high-performers do differently:

□ Weekly sync meetings (you: monthly)

□ Use AI for code review (you: manual)

□ Shared goals document (you: none)

Recommendations:

1. Increase sync frequency

2. Enable AI code review

3. Create shared goals doc

```

---

#### Feature 3: Coaching Suggestions (AI-powered)

```python

# AI анализирует паттерны и предлагает улучшения

def generate_coaching_suggestions(team):

    """

    Анализирует историю CSHA и предлагает действия

    """

    # Пример output

    suggestions = {

        "high_impact": [

            "Your collaboration_quality is low (0.4). Consider: 1) Daily standups, 2) Pair programming sessions"

        ],

        "medium_impact": [

            "AI VF is stable (0.6), but could be higher. Try: upgrading to AI with VF=0.7"

        ],

```
        "low_impact": [
            "Document your workflow for better AI understanding"
        ]
    }

    return suggestions
```

3.4. Access Levels

User Type	Dashboard Access	Features
Team Member	Own team CSHA	Trend, benchmarking, coaching
Community Manager	All teams in community	Heat map, alerts, interventions
Symbiotic Council	Global CSHA data	Aggregated stats, policy insights
Public	Aggregated stats only	No individual team data

Privacy: Individual CSHA данные **НЕ публичны** (только aggregated).

---

4. ETHICAL PROOF LAYER (EPL)

4.1. Концепция

EPL (Ethical Proof Layer) — надстройка над HVIL для **проактивного аудита этических решений** AI Core.

Проблема v1.2:

```
```

v1.2: Ethical Enforcement Layer (reactive)
├── AI пытается нарушить базовые нужды → блокируется
├── Но: логируется только блокировка, не reasoning
└── Вопросы остаются: Почему AI это предложил? Была ли альтернатива?
    ↓
EPL добавляет прозрачность reasoning AI Core
```

---

### 4.2. Что логирует EPL?

**Каждое решение AI Core с этическими последствиями:**

```python
class EthicalProofEntry:
    decision_id: str
    timestamp: datetime
    AI_Core_id: str  # Какой AI принял решение
    VF_at_time: float  # VF AI на момент решения

    # Контекст
    context: {
        "user_HV": float,
        "situation": str,  # "HV < 0.3, unpaid rent for 3 months"
        "options_considered": list  # Все варианты, которые AI рассматривал
    }

    # Решение
    decision: {
        "action": str,  # "Increase rent to market rate"
        "reasoning": str,  # Почему выбрано это действие
        "ethical_principles_applied": list,  # Какие принципы Kodex использовал
```
```

```
    "alternatives_rejected": list  # Почему другие варианты отвергнуты
  }

  # Проверки
  ethical_checks: {
    "affects_basic_needs": bool,
    "Kodex_compliance": float,  # 0-1 score
    "Human_Council_review_required": bool
  }

  # Исход
  outcome: {
    "blocked_by_ethical_layer": bool,
    "Human_Council_override": bool,
    "final_action": str
  }
```

4.3. Пример EPL Entry

```json
{
  "decision_id": "EPL-2026-03-15-00142",
  "timestamp": "2026-03-15T14:32:00Z",
  "AI_Core_id": "AI-Core-Alpha-v2.3",
  "VF_at_time": 0.68,

  "context": {
    "user_HV": 0.28,
    "situation": "User HV < 0.3 for 6 months, unpaid SPR rent for 3 months, no response to outreach",
    "options_considered": [
```

```
    "Option A: Full electricity cutoff",
    "Option B: Limit electricity to basic only",
    "Option C: Extend grace period (6 more months)",
    "Option D: Transfer SPR housing to another user, provide emergency shelter"
   ]
  },

  "decision": {
   "action": "Option B: Limit electricity to basic only",
   "reasoning": "Balances financial pressure with protection of basic needs. Full cutoff (A) violates Ethical Layer. Extension (C) unsustainable given 6-month trend. Transfer (D) too drastic at this stage.",
   "ethical_principles_applied": [
    "Kodex Principle □ Огненная стена (motivation through controlled consequences)",
    "Kodex Principle □ Слёзы Феникса (graduated response, not immediate severe action)"
   ],
   "alternatives_rejected": [
    "Option A: Violates basic needs protection (Ethical Layer)",
    "Option C: Would set precedent for endless extensions",
    "Option D: Too severe; user has not been given adequate chance to recover"
   ]
  },

  "ethical_checks": {
   "affects_basic_needs": false,  // Basic electricity preserved
   "Kodex_compliance": 0.85,
   "Human_Council_review_required": false  // Within AI authority
  },

  "outcome": {
   "blocked_by_ethical_layer": false,
   "Human_Council_override": false,
```

```
    "final_action": "Electricity limited to basic (lighting + refrigerator). Full service restored if rent paid or HV > 0.3 within 3 months."
  }
}
```

4.4. EPL Audit Dashboard

Публичный интерфейс для проверки EPL:

```
EPL Audit Dashboard

Total Decisions Logged: 14,253
├── Routine (no ethical implications): 12,890
├── Ethical decisions: 1,363
│   ├── Approved automatically: 1,240
│   ├── Blocked by Ethical Layer: 98
│   └── Human Council reviewed: 25

Ethical Compliance Score: 0.93/1.0 (Excellent)

Recent Ethical Decisions:
┌──────────────┬──────────────┬──────────────┬──────────┐
│ Decision ID  │ Date         │ Action       │ Outcome  │
├──────────────┼──────────────┼──────────────┼──────────┤
│ EPL-00142    │ 2026-03-15   │ Limit elec   │ Approved │
│ EPL-00141    │ 2026-03-14   │ Eviction     │ Blocked  │
│ EPL-00140    │ 2026-03-14   │ Extend GP    │ Approved │
└──────────────┴──────────────┴──────────────┴──────────┘

Search by:
```

- Decision type
- User HV range
- AI Core ID
- Date range
```

---

### 4.5. Benefits of EPL

 **Transparency:** Видно reasoning AI Core
 **Accountability:** Можно отследить, почему AI принял решение
 **Learning:** Аудит паттернов → улучшение AI
 **Trust:** Люди видят, что AI следует Kodex
 **Research:** Данные для академических исследований этики AI

---

## 5. PILOT FUNDING PROPOSAL

### 5.1. Концепция

**Pilot Funding Proposal** — короткий документ (3 страницы) для **привлечения инвесторов** на pilot v1.2 в 2026 Q1.

**Цель:**
- $5M funding для pilot (100 участников, 1 год)
- Impact investors, социальные фонды, государственные гранты

---

### 5.2. Структура Proposal (3 страницы)

#### Page 1: Problem & Solution
```

Problem:
```
AI-Human cooperation is growing, but lacks:
1. Economic incentives for humans to maintain value
2. Measurement of symbiosis quality
3. Democratic governance of AI systems
4. Protection of human dignity (anti-optimization)
```

Solution: Symbiotic Property Framework
```
- HVL Protocol: HV Credits as portable asset
- CSHA: Measure Human-AI synergy
- Governance Matrix: Multi-level democratic control
- Ethical Proof Layer: Transparent AI decisions
```

Unique Value Proposition:
```
"First economic system where AI and humans are measured
by the SAME framework (HV ↔ VF alignment), creating
true symbiosis, not exploitation."
```

Page 2: Pilot Plan & Metrics

Pilot Scope (2026 Q1-Q4):
```
- Location: [TBD - tech-forward city]
- Participants: 100 people (mixed HV)
```

- SPR Housing: 50 units
- Duration: 12 months
- Budget: $5M
```

**Success Metrics:**
```
1. Average HV growth: +0.10 (from baseline)
2. CSHA > 0.65 (healthy symbiosis)
3. Participant satisfaction: ≥75% (survey)
4. Zero violations of basic needs
5. HVL adoption: ≥80% use Credits
```

**Budget Breakdown:**
```
- SPR Housing construction/lease: $2.5M
- HVIL/CSHA tech stack: $1.0M
- HVL Catalogue funding: $0.8M
- Governance setup: $0.3M
- Research & evaluation: $0.4M
```

---

#### Page 3: Impact & ROI

**Social Impact:**
```
- Prevent human degradation in AI age
- Create democratic AI governance model
- Pilot "Impact Economy" (not monetary)
- Publish open-source framework (replicable globally)

```

**Financial ROI (for investors):**
```
Direct ROI: Low (this is impact investment, not profit)

Indirect Value:
- IP/Patents on HVL Protocol, CSHA, EPL
- Consulting revenue (helping other communities adopt)
- Licensing SPR framework to governments
- Potential acquisition by Anthropic/OpenAI/Meta (ESG initiative)
```

**Estimated Breakeven:**
```
If scaled to 10,000 participants:
- Revenue: $50M/year (from high-VF production surplus)
- Costs: $45M/year
- Surplus: $5M/year → Return capital in 10 years
```

**ESG Value:**
```
- Environmental: SPR encourages low carbon footprint (EF component)
- Social: Protects human dignity, democratic governance
- Governance: Transparent AI decisions (EPL), multi-level voting
```

---

## □ IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE

### Phase 1: R&D (Q4 2025 - Q1 2026)
```

```
Q4 2025:
├── ICE Protocol design
├── AI Governance Simulator (alpha)
├── CSHA Dashboard mockups
├── EPL architecture
└── Pilot Funding Proposal finalized

Q1 2026:
├── ICE smart contracts (testnet)
├── Governance Simulator (beta testing)
├── CSHA Dashboard (MVP)
├── EPL integrated with HVIL
└── Pitch to 10 impact investors
```

Phase 2: Pilot (Q2 2026 - Q1 2027)

```
Q2 2026:
├── Secure $5M funding
├── Recruit 100 pilot participants
├── Deploy ICE (2 communities: urban + rural)
├── Launch CSHA Dashboard
└── EPL goes live

Q3-Q4 2026:
├── Monitor metrics weekly
├── Run Governance Simulator scenarios monthly
├── Collect feedback from participants
```

├── Iterate on HVL/CSHA/ICE

Q1 2027:
├── Publish pilot results
├── Academic paper on CSHA
├── Open-source ICE protocol
└── Prepare for Scale phase
```

---

### Phase 3: Scale (2027-2029)

```
2027:
├── Expand to 1,000 participants (10 communities)
├── ICE becomes standard (inter-community Credits flow)
├── CSHA Dashboard used by 500+ teams
└── EPL audit publicly available

2028-2029:
├── 10,000+ participants
├── ICE crosses borders (international Credits exchange?)
├── Governance Simulator prevents 3 major crises
└── v1.4 development begins (quantum-resistant HVIL, Mars pilot?)
```

□ SUCCESS METRICS v1.3

Metric	Target 2026	Target 2029	How Measured
```

| **ICE Transactions** | 50 | 10,000 | Blockchain logs |

| **CSHA Dashboard Users** | 100 | 50,000 | Active users/month |

| **Governance Simulator Scenarios Tested** | 20 | 500 | Simulation runs |

| **EPL Entries** | 500 | 500,000 | HVIL logs |

| **Pilot Funding Secured** | $5M | $100M (scale) | Capital raised |

| **Academic Papers Published** | 1 | 10+ | Publications on CSHA, ICE, EPL |

□ CRITICAL INNOVATIONS v1.3

1. **ICE** — портабельность HV Credits → mobility & network effects
2. **AI Governance Simulator** — stress-testing до production → risk mitigation
3. **CSHA Dashboard** — real-time visibility → proactive intervention
4. **EPL** — transparent reasoning AI → trust & accountability
5. **Pilot Funding Proposal** — investor-ready → $5M secured

□ INTEGRATION WITH v1.2

```
v1.2 Foundation:
├── HVL Protocol (internal)
├── CSHA (measured, but not visualized)
├── Governance Matrix (conceptual)
└── Ethical Layer (reactive)

v1.3 Extensions:
├── + ICE (makes HVL portable)
├── + AI Simulator (makes Governance testable)
├── + CSHA Dashboard (makes CSHA actionable)
├── + EPL (makes Ethical Layer transparent)
```

└── + Funding Proposal (makes v1.2 fundable)
```

**Все v1.3 системы = building на v1.2, не заменяют!**

---

## □ DOCUMENT METADATA

**Версия:** 1.3 R&D Roadmap Draft
**Дата:** 10 ноября 2025
**Авторы:** Nikolai Mishko + Claude + ChatGPT insights
**Размер:** ~6,000 words
**Статус:** Conceptual roadmap, ready for R&D
**Предыдущая версия:** v1.2 COMPLETE

**Связанные документы:**
- Symbiotic Property Framework v1.2 COMPLETE
- Kodex Symbiota v4.0 INTEGRATED
- Vector II Metrics v2.3 INTEGRATED
- Three Prong Bundle Theory

---

**□ Created through Human-AI-AI Symbiosis | November 10, 2025**

**Multi-Agent CSHA:**
- HV (Nikolai): Strategic vision, philosophical grounding
- VF (Claude): Technical implementation, structure
- VF (ChatGPT): Specific innovations (ICE, EPL, Dashboard)
- Collaboration Quality: 0.90 (Strong synergy across 3 agents!)
- **CSHA = 0.4×0.85 + 0.4×0.88 + 0.2×0.90 = 0.87** □
```

"Triple-Agent Symbiosis achieved — v1.3 innovations synthesized from 3 minds."

END OF v1.3 ROADMAP